Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 10/768.392 GRIMM ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Tim Heitbrink 1722 All Participants: Status of Application:	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
All Participants: (1) Tim Heitbrink. (2) Henry Feiereisen. (3)		10/768,392	GRIMM ET AL.
All Participants: (1) Tim Heilbrink. (2) Henry Feiereisen. (4) Date of Interview: 6 March 2006 Time: Type of Interview: 6 March 2006 Time: Telephonic video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No No Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Nane Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Feiereisen agreed to the changes as found in the Examiner's Amendment. Such changes were made to more clearly define the apparatus. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		Examiner	Art Unit
(1) Tim Heitbrink. (2) Henry Feiereisen. (3) Date of Interview: § March 2006		Tim Heitbrink	1722
Canal Content Canal Conten	All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
Type of Interview: 6 March 2006 Type of Interview:	(1) <u>Tim Heitbrink</u> .	(3)	:
Type of Interview: Type of Interview: Telephonic Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: None Claims discussed: 1,3,10,12 Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Felereisen agreed to the changes as found in the Examiner's Amendment. Such changes were made to more clearly define the apparatus. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the Intervied directive in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the Intervied directive in the Notice of Allowability.	(2) <u>Henry Feiereisen</u> .	(4)	
Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)	Date of Interview: 6 March 2006	Time:	
Rejection(s) discussed: 1,3,10,12 Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Feiereisen agreed to the changes as found in the Examiner's Amendment. Such changes were made to more clearly define the apparatus. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	 ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No 	ant's representative)	
Claims discussed: 1,3,10,12 Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Felereisen agreed to the changes as found in the Examiner's Amendment. Such changes were made to more clearly define the apparatus. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied irectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part I.		
Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Feiereisen agreed to the changes as found in the Examiner's Amendment. Such changes were made to more clearly define the apparatus. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Feiereisen agreed to the changes as found in the Examiner's Amendment. Such changes were made to more clearly define the apparatus. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Claims discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Feiereisen agreed to the changes as found in the Examiner's Amendment. Such changes were made to more clearly define the apparatus. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	1,3,10,12		•
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Feiereisen agreed to the changes as found in the Examiner's Amendment. Such changes were made to more clearly define the apparatus. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Prior art documents discussed:		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Feiereisen agreed to the changes as found in the Examiner's Amendment. Such changes were made to more clearly define the apparatus. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		•	
Mr. Feiereisen agreed to the changes as found in the Examiner's Amendment. Such changes were made to more clearly define the apparatus. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part II.		
Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	ERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		's Amendment. Such change	es were made to more clearly define
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part III.		
	directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	ne examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
			•
	•		
			·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)